

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT**

Deborah S. Hunt
Clerk

100 EAST FIFTH STREET, ROOM 540
POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000
www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: February 24, 2022

Mr. David H. Fink
Fink Bressack
38500 Woodward Avenue
Suite 350
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

Mr. Nathan Joshua Fink
Fink Bressack
38500 Woodward Avenue
Suite 350
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

Mr. Erik A. Grill
Office of the Attorney General
of Michigan
P.O. Box 30217
Lansing, MI 48909

Ms. Stefanie Lynn Junttila
Federal Criminal Attorneys of Michigan
500 Griswold Street
Suite 2340
Detroit, MI 48301

Ms. Heather S. Meingast
Office of the Attorney General
of Michigan
P.O. Box 30217
Lansing, MI 48909

Re: Case No. 22-1010, *Timothy King v. Gretchen Whitmer, et al*
Originating Case No. : 2:20-cv-13134

Dear Counsel,

The Court issued the enclosed Order today in this case.

Sincerely yours,

s/C. Anthony Milton
Case Manager
Direct Dial No. 513-564-7026

cc: Ms. Kinikia D. Essix

Enclosure

No. 22-1010

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

FILED
Feb 24, 2022
DEBORAH S. HUNT, Clerk

TIMOTHY KING,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
STEFANIE LYNN JUNTILA,)
)
Interested Party-Appellant,)
)
v.)
)
GRETCHEN WHITMER, et al.,)
)
Defendants-Appellees.)

O R D E R

Before: GUY, CLAY, and DONALD, Circuit Judges.

In four related appeals, of which this is one, various attorneys challenge the district court’s imposition of monetary and non-monetary sanctions against them for engaging in abusive litigation practices in the district court. On February 22, 2022, Attorney Stefanie Lynn Junttila moved to stay the non-monetary portion of the district court’s sanctions award, issued on August 25, 2021, which ordered: (1) her to complete—before February 25, 2022—at least twelve hours of continuing legal education offered by a non-partisan organization on the subjects of pleading standards and election law; and (2) the clerk to send a copy of the order to the Michigan Attorney Grievance Commission and to the disciplinary authority where she is admitted to practice law so those organizations could initiate an investigation into her possible suspension or disbarment.

No. 22-1010

-2-

A motion to stay should first be sought in the district court unless the movant can “show that moving first in the district court would be impracticable.” Fed. R. App. P. 8(a)(2)(A)(i). Junttila acknowledges that she did not first move the district court for a stay, based on similar reasons as set forth by the attorneys in *King v. Whitmer*, No. 21-1786. The same reasons that support our denial of a stay in that appeal support the denial of Junttila’s motion.

Accordingly, the motion to stay is **DENIED**.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT



Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk